

1 Robert V. Prongay (SBN 270796)
rprongay@glancylaw.com
2 Charles H. Linehan (SBN 307439)
clinehan@glancylaw.com
3 Pavithra Rajesh (SBN 323055)
prajesh@glancylaw.com
4 **GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP**
5 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100
6 Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 201-9150
Facsimile: (310) 201-9160

*Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Movant Feng Li and
Proposed Lead Counsel for the Class*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 ASIF MEHEDI, Individually and on Behalf of
12 All Others Similarly Situated,

Case No. 5:21-cv-06374-BLF

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 VIEW, INC. f/k/a CF FINANCE
16 ACQUISITION CORP. II, RAO MULPURI,
and VIDUL PRAKASH,

**NOTICE OF NON-OPPosition OF
FENG LI TO COMPETING MOTIONS
FOR APPOINTMENT AS LEAD
PLAINTIFF AND APPROVAL OF
COUNSEL**

17 || Defendant.

Feng Li filed a timely motion for consolidation of related actions, appointment as lead plaintiff, and approval of his selection of counsel. Dkt. No. 18. Five similar motions were filed by other putative class members in this action. Dkt. Nos. 14, 22, 26, 27, 31.

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the “PSLRA”) provides a presumption that the “most adequate plaintiff” to represent the interests of class members is the person or group that, among other things, has “the largest financial interest in the relief sought by the class.” 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(iii)(I). Having reviewed the competing motions and supporting papers provided by the other movants seeking appointment as lead plaintiff, it appears that Mr. Li does not possess the “largest financial interest in the relief sought by the class” as required by the PSLRA. As such, Mr. Li does not oppose the lead plaintiff motions of movants with larger financial interests.

12 However, if the Court determines that the lead plaintiff movants with financial interests
13 larger than that of Mr. Li are incapable or inadequate to represent the class in this litigation, Mr. Li
14 remains willing and able to serve as lead plaintiff or as a class representative. By this Notice of
15 Non-Opposition, Mr. Li does not waive his rights to participate and recover as a class member in
16 this litigation.

18 | DATED: November 1, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP

By: /s/ Charles Linehan

Robert V. Prongay

Charles Linehan

Pavithra Rajesh

1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100

Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: (310) 201-9150

Facsimile: (310) 201-9160

Email: clmehan@glancyla.com

Counsel for Feng Ji

Counsel for Pen

Counsel for Feng Li

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC POSTING**

2 I, the undersigned, say:

3 I am not a party to the above case and am over eighteen years old. On November 1, 2021, I
4 served true and correct copies of the foregoing document, by posting the document electronically
5 to the ECF website of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, for
6 receipt electronically by the parties listed on the Court's Service List.

7 I affirm under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that
8 the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 1, 2021, at Los Angeles, California.

9 */s/ Charles H. Linehan*
10 Charles H. Linehan

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28